Annex A(3): Post-2015 On-the-Ground Shared Framework Country Selection Criteria Developed at the Charette

Review Criteria for Country Selection
 Mix of Type 1 + Type2 Countries Mix of developing and developed countries. Geographic spread
Ensure geographic spread of gov'ts that showcase justice and governance success
There should be good balance between Type 1 + Type 2 countries to advance broader goals
Committee will need to decide if only 1 program/foundation within OSF wants to work in the country, and it's a political-important country, should the SF still focus there?
Committee will need to decide which areas are most strategic for selected country.
On-the-ground advocacy capacity is vital (implementation issue)

Secondary Criteria for Country Selection

- 1. OSF Programs and Foundations & partners have productive and well-established relationships on ground.
- a. CSO/NGO
- b. Gov't
- 2. OSF Programs and Foundations can take advantage of Communications opportunities (Digital, etc.)
- 3. Countries where the development of compelling indicators is possible (build on demonstrated on-the-ground work and practice)

Type 1 Sub-criteria	Type 2 Sub-criteria
Consolidated grassroots network	Have already demonstrated some progress on justice/governance in development on a local and national scale
Good engagement on ground, CSO/gov't	We should make a list of type 2 countries that could get special support from other OSF programming if reformist governments come to power during SF lifetime
ID countries where you have mayors in addition to national level actors	
Existence of "champions," (Examples: Kofi Annan, Mayor Bloomberg, Ex-President of Costa Rica, etc.)	
Demonstrated good practice in justice and governance in development	
Minimum quality (medium) data capacity - quality of indicators	