Fealty to Field or Place				
Fields or Places Field(s) and/or Place(s) plus any ambitions you may have for them (from your Strategy narrative, abbreviated)	Primary Beneficiary (e.g. name of grant recipient, OSF- sponsored workshop participant)	Amount Indicate amount of principal grants per Primary Beneficiary, or amount of relevant grant portfolio and/or briefly describe operational activity envisioned. Insert quarter, if known.	Supporting Staff	Significant deviations in case High or Low budget approv
Field #1: Enhance Judicial Accountability as a Precondition for Justice Reform. The overarching goal is to create effective accountability mechanisms for judiciary.	Legal Resources Centre from Moldova (LRCM, NGO)	Grant in the amount of USD 80,000. <b>Milestones:</b> (1) Recommendations provided to SCM for new rules and practices to ensure the transparency of procedures and hearings of SCM; (2) Secondary legislation for disciplinary investigation of judges developed and adopted. <b>Activities – milestone</b> (1): 1. Monitoring of SCM to develop new rules and practices to ensure transparency of procedures and hearings of SCM (Q1); 3. Organize at least one meeting with SCM to discuss the recommendations and suggest creating a working group of 3-5 people (Q1); 4. Provide technical assistance to the working group, including a study visit to Romania (Q2-3); 5. Assist SCM to organize one public consultation meeting to discuss the draft new rules to ensure transparency of procedures and hearings of SCM (Q3-4); 6. Organize at least 1 workshop with media and 1 workshop with civil society groups on new rules and practices (Q4). <b>Activities – milestone</b> (2): 1. If the new law is not adopted by the end of 2013: Analyze the draft law on disciplinary responsibility of judges and draft comments <b>OR</b> draft a public appeal, supported by at least 3 civil society groups, to the Parliament to adopt the new law (strategy chosen depending on the topic) (Q1); 2. Once the law is adopted, needs assessment carried out for the development of secondary legislation for disciplinary procedures of judges (Q1-2); 3. Meeting with the SCM to advocate for new rules for disciplinary investigation of judges, including template complaints on disciplinary procedures to be posted on the SCM website (Q1-2); 5. Assist the SCM to organize at least one public discussion of the new rules (Q3-4); 6. Organize at least 1 workshop with lawyers on new disciplinary rules (Q3-4); 7. Organize at least 1 seminar with media on new disciplinary procedures for judges (Q3-4); 8. Monitoring of the activity of the new Board on Disciplinary Responsibility of Judges (Q4-4); 9. Assessment of the initial application of the new law on disciplinary responsibility of judges (Q4).	Program Director and Coordinator; Vladislav Gribincea, Executive Director; Nadejda Hriptievschi, Program Director (Justice); Ion Guzun (Legal Officer); Sorina Macrinici (Legal Officer); Pavel Grecu (Legal Officer).	LRCM will not assist the SCM in organia one public consultation meeting on the new rules to ensure transparency of procedures and hearings of SCM and w organize one workshop with civil socie groups on the respective new rules (Q3 a Low Budget Scenario. LRCM will inclu assessment of the initial application of new law on disciplinary responsibility of judges (Q4) in a High Budget Scenario.
Field #2: Provide Accurate and Reliable Data on Themes Relevant to Europeanization	Institute for Public Policies	1 grant (USD 37,000). <b>Activities -milestones</b> : produce and present to the public 2 independent public opinion polls (spring and summer editions) which are used as a test of public perception of government actions and the reform process and a baseline for any meaningful advocacy, reasearch or developing public policies.	Arcadie Barbarosie, IPP Director GGP Director + Coordinator	High budget: 2 round-table meetings w journalists on understanding and interpreting public opinion polls Low budget: only press releases on the 2 powill be translated in English, while the reports will be published in Romanian
Field #3. Ensure Independent Monitoring of Public Health Policies as a Basis for Informed and Better Decision Making in Health Sector	Center for Health Policies and Studies (PAS Center)	Offer 1 grant (USD 48,740) to the Center for Health Policies and Studies (PAS Center) to monitor health sector performance and develop alternative mechanisms for decision-making, as well as issue 4 policy briefs&alternative reports on key health policies and health reforms.	PAS Center Staff; PHP Director + Coordinator	We will support the development of or policy briefs in a Low Budget Scenario. will add an additional policy brief in a F Budget Scenario.

Goals (Concept(s), Initiative(s) and associated goal(s) from your Strategy narrative, in abbreviated form)	2014 Milestones (anticipated achievements or progress markers by end of 2014)	Activities (significant actions, events, or grants that will advance the concept or initiative)	Supporting Staff & Main Collaborators	Significant deviations in case of High of Low budget approval (changes to milestones or activities)
Goal #1:	1. Citizens become more informed on public expenditures and get the first "citizen's budget" developed and published by the Ministry of Finance. 2. OBI-based comprehensive budget transparency assessment developed and used by the decision-makers and CSOs to improve and develop budgetary policies and procedures. 3. 2015 draft budget policy and related documents consulted with the CSOs and recommendations submitted to the Parliament 4. The parliamentary monitoring and control of the Court's of Accounts decisions implementation is improved as a result of an advocacy campaign	foundation's grantee to develop a citizen's budget; 8-10 new info	Grant to 1 local NGO (Expert-Grup think tank) Staff members: Program Director and Coordinator .	In a low budget scenario the number of thematic policy briefs will be reduced to 4 the number of info-graphics to 6.
Goal #2: Promote Integrity in the Public Function through Increased Civic Control	Corruption (CCC) developed and submitted to Government/Parliament for approval, based on a prior review of the existent legal framework and regional practices.  2. Media and the public are constantly informed about the NCI activity and developments related to integrity related cases.  3. Capacities of 10 investigative journalists regarding integrity issue and transparency of public funds strengthened  4. An increased number of conflicts of interests/	CCC implementation (Romania - 8-9 pers.); Draft law package developed by the local partner NGO. Draft package consulted with the relevant stakeholders within a working group Issue 2. 8-10 journalistic investigations; 8-10 articles per month on the subject of integrity; 2 functional thematic web pages periodically produce news/subjects on the integrity, anti-corruption and use of public funds issues; participation in 5 thematic TV talk shows; 3-4 press conferences; Issue 3. 1 training on data journalism Issue 4. Income & property and the conflict of interests declarations of MP's, government agencies and magistrates (around 300 individuals) checked; results compared to the NCI activity and decisions. 1 evaluation report of the NCI activity issued and made	Grants to 4 local NGOs (Association for Participatory Democarcy ADEPT, Center for Investigative Journalism, Association of Independent Press, Center for the Analysis and Prevention of Corruption) Staff members: Program Director and Coordinator	High budget: Draft legal package on the effective implementation of the Civil Convention on Corruption (CCC) is prepare based on a more comprehensive review of regional practices and includes a study visifor the stake-holders group to a second country (Italy or Slovenia) with functioning mecanisms for the CCC implementation; develop 14 journalistic investigations to support the NCI monitoring & efficiency evaluation.  Low budget: renounce to the capacity building of investigative journalists; limit to number of journalistic investigations to 10

#### Goals

(Concept(s), Initiative(s) and associated goal(s) from your Strategy narrative, in abbreviated form)

#### **2014 Milestones**

(anticipated achievements or progress markers by end of 2014)

#### Activities

(significant actions, events, or grants that will advance the concept or initiative)

#### **Supporting Staff & Main Collaborators**

## Significant deviations in case of High or Low budget approva

(changes to milestones or activities)

### CONCEPT B: Protect and Promote Media Independence and Pluralism to Sustain Democracy

#### Goal #1:

Provide regular insights into the public information supply, particularly into the effects of media concentration on the diversity and independence of information

#### Milestone 1.1

An annual research assessing the level of media pluralism is developed. The research identifies threats to media content diversity based on a set of indicators, covering pertinent legal, economic and socio-cultural considerations.

#### Milestone 1.2

A roadmap and action plans addressing political, economic, legal and sociocultural challenges faced by media pluralism and diversity are developed based on the research conclusions.

#### Milestone 1.3

Important issues that affect free and pluralistic media development, including 2015 transition from analogue to digital terrestrial television broadcasting are brought to the public attention by means of debates through conferences and media talk-shows broadcast at the national level.

1.1.1 Conduct an open contest to select a research company:

develop the terms of reference to conduct the annual research, announce the contest, evaluate the offers and submit the applicants' evaluation reports to the SFM Procurement Committee.

1.1.2 Conduct the research

publish the bi-lingual report

the legislation

- 1.1.3 Publish and disseminate the report (Romanian version) (hard copies, 120 copies, A4, 60 pages)
- 1.2.1 Assess the needs, develop a road map and action plan on preventing the state from unduly limiting journalism and fostering free and diverse media sector 1.2.2 Translate the conference documents into English,
- 1.3.1 Organise the international conference for discussing the results of the research, European legal principles for avoiding the concentration of media ownership, conflict of interests in media etc.
- 1.3.2 Train and assist TV journalists in running a TV media campaign all over the country (at least 10 TV stations involved) in producing and broadcasting 24 talk-shows on issues that affect free and pluralistic media development.

3 independent experts hired through an open contest, SFM own staff members (program director and program assistant); a research company selected through an open contest. Collaborations: meetings of SFM own staff members with experts to develop the ToR for an open contest to hire a research company; meetings of SFM staff members and SFM Procurement Committee to take a decision concerning the selected research company; meetings of SFM own staff members and the research company representatives to sign the service providing agreement on research develpment; meeting of SFM own staff members with the research company representatives to evaluate the results of the project.

2 experts hired through an open contest for needs assessment; 1 European expert and 4 local experts identified for organizing the international conference; SFM own staff members (program director and program assistant), grant awarded to partner media NGOs; Collaborations: meetings of SFM own staff members with an independent expert team to organize the needs assessment process; meetings of SFM staff members with European and local experts ownership, developing recommendations for amending identified to moderate the international conference; meetings of SFM own staff members with all the stakeholders involved in the organization of the international conference; meetings of SFM staff members with partner media NGOs to prepare the media campaign; awarding grants to partner NGOs; meetings of SFM staff members with an information campaign consisting of about 20 TV partner NGOs to evaluate intermediate and final project results.

#### High budget:

Translation of the research report into English to be disseminated to all stakeholders, including international institutions. This will bring relevant international expertise and contribution towards the development of several strategic policy documents: media needs assessment, road map and action plan.

#### Low budget:

- 1) The research report will be published in Romanian language only and in a smaller number of copies; no European experts will be invited to the conference for discussing the results of the research. This will mean no international expertise and contribution towards the development and discussion of strategic media policy documents.
- 2) The decrease of the budget for the media advocacy campaign will limit the possibilities to train journalists and produce talk-shows. Instead of producing 24 talk-shows in a duplex format that will connect journalists and experts from Chisinau with their regional peers and stakeholders, programs (reports, interviews, local talk-shows etc.) will be organised.

Goal #2:	Milestone 2.1	2.1.1 Launch a media NGOs wide umbrella initiative for	SFM own staff (program director and program assistant), IT assistant,	
Create a framework for professional	An on-line deliberation platform (going	ethical and quality journalism and define the core	grant awarded to the Moldovan Press Council, other media partner	
competition, quality standards	beyond already-existing media NGOs'	message of the platform	NGOs, web-site company. Collaborations: meetings of SFM staff	
observance and knowledge spillover	competences) is launched. The platform,	2.1.2 Organize a press conference to announce the	members with media and other NGOs' representatives to create the	
through exchange of ideas and	moderated by the Moldovan Press	project and disseminate the information	virtual deliberating platform; SFM staff members disseminate the	
experiences aimed at accurate,	Council, offers the possibility to	2.1.3 Establish a partnership with the Moldovan Press	information and enlarge the platform; meetings of SFM staff members	
unbiased and ethical reporting.	journalists and citizens to review current	Council to define clear goals of the deliberation	with the Moldovan Press Council to discuss the platform development;	
	media content, debate on quality	platform; identify community facilitators; inform	awarding a grant to the Moldovan Press Council; meetings of SFM staff	
	standards and address wider media issues	diverse media; moderate content; build and engage a	with MPC staff to conduct an intermediate evaluation and coordinate	
	such as investigative journalism in	network; build virtual teams around shared goals;	platform activities; meetings of SFM staff members with the Press	
	Moldova, ethical standards, self-	moderate thematic debates.	Council board to evaluate the final project results; meetings of SFM staff	
	regulation, copyright, etc.	2.1.4 Develop the online platform	members and SFM Procurement Committee to conduct the selection	
		2.1.5 Technical administration of the platform and	process for a web-site company to offer technological support and	
	A specific self-regulatory tool, transparent	promotion activities:	maintain the platform; meetings of SFM own staff members and the	
ı	and credible, is created, through which	build the platform audience,	selected company to sign the service agreement on platform	
	the journalistic community assesses the	• link to social media (Twitter, Facebook, etc.).	technological development; SFM staff members monitor and evaluate	
	level of accuracy, fairness, responsibility		the results of the project.	
	of media reports/programs, discouraging			
	bad practices and praising the good ones.			
Goal #3:	Milestone 3.1	3.1.1 In partnership with the Center for Investigative	3 experts, 1 coordinator involved in archive development; 3 data	
Empower professional investigative		Journalism assess the priority needs and develop the	collection and entry specialists selected on an open contest basis in	
journalism by setting up a reliable	-	I	partnership with Investigative Journalism Center; SFM own staff	
online archive collecting media	is opened for use to investigative	3.1.2 Establish cooperation with audiovisual	(program director and program assistant), IT assistant. Collaborations:	
programs produced by TV channels	journalists in support of their research	institutions and coordinate the process of archive	meetings of SFM staff members (program director and program	
and online outlets from Moldova		development	assistant) with the Investigative Journalism Center and other media	
dia cinine datices ironi Molacva	tool for analyzing/evaluating the TV	3.1.3 Data collection and processing	NGOs representatives to discuss the creation of the archive platform	
	programs produced in Moldova.	3.1.4 Technological support	issues and to select the expert team; meetings of SFM staff members	
	programs produced in Woldova.	3.1.14 recimological support	and SFM Procurement Committee to select the archive development	
			team; meetings of SFM own staff members with the Investigative	
			Journalism Center with other media NGOs' representatives and with the	
			archive team to coordinate the creation of the archive; meeting of SFM	
I			own staff with technological support providers to organize	
ı			procurements and ensure the technological support. The SFM own	
			team monitoring the project and evaluating the project results.	
ı			cean monitoring the project and evaluating the project results.	
l				
	1	I		

Goals (Concept(s), Initiative(s) and associated goal(s) from your Strategy narrative, in abbreviated form)	<b>2014 Milestones</b> (anticipated achievements or progress markers by end of 2014)	Activities (significant actions, events, or grants that will advance the concept or initiative)	Supporting Staff & Main Collaborators	Significant deviations in case of High or Low budget approval (changes to milestones or activities)
Goal #1:	stage identified through a needs assessment. Draft amendments on safeguards regarding healthcare services, early access to a lawyer, and	Set up an expert working group to undertake legal review, provide	Staff members, project coordinator, 3 national consultants, 1 international expert.	High budget: 4 national consultants, 5 advocacy meetings; study visit for 6 participants  Low: 3 advocacy meetings, launching of the report with the participation of national stakeholders only.
Goal #2: Prevent police abuse and arbitrary actions when applying applehension and custody.	rights standards for police and prosecution on apprehension grounds and reasoning, developed	undertake strategic litigation of at least 7 cases. Make 5 media events	Grant to human rights deffenders/NGOs; media and human rights activists, independent experts, staff members, project coordinator.	High budget: 7 media events on the subject; Low: carry out 5 strategic litigation cases, development and publishing of the electronic version only of tools to oversee police apprehension facilities.
Goal #3: Determine criminal justice actors to issue well-reasoned decisions on pretrial measures.	Guidelines on 'reasonable suspicion' as a legal standard for arrest warrants developed and disseminated. Recommendations on prosecutorial and police disincentives to seek pretrial detention developed and inserted into criminal justice policy documents. Methodology for a local public confidence survey in law enforcement bodies developed.	I	Staff members, project coordinator, 3 national experts, media, 1 public survey company.	High budget: 4 national experts, study visit for 6 participants; Low: 2 advocacy meetings, 3 media articles, test training model for 15 participants.
Goal #4: Promote effective implementation of early acces to a lawyer.		There are no activities under this objective to be funded from 2014 budget. However, the domain of early access to lawyer will be paid due regard through a number of preparatory actions under the 2013-year budget rolled over into 2014 within a two-year operational project. The program will participate in a regional research project on access to lawyer for people in police custody and will analyze the quality of defense at early stages of the criminal process. It will allow the program to better shape its activities in 2015 under this goal.		

Goals (Concept(s), Initiative(s) and associated goal(s) from your Strategy narrative, in abbreviated form)	2014 Milestones (anticipated achievements or progress markers by end of 2014)	Activities (significant actions, events, or grants that will advance the concept or initiative)	Supporting Staff & Main Collaborators	Significant deviations in case of High of Low budget approval (changes to milestones or activities)
Goal #1: Address alleged conflicts between selected rights (freedom of religion, non- discrimination, assembly, sexual& reproductive rights, health, assembly.)	Mecanism to collect data and document cases of violation of freedom of religion and on abusive use of religious freedom to limit other rights developed and disseminated within the HR activits' community.  A Montioring Report developed and presented to national and international stakeholders, including the Special Rapporteur.	Set up a team of 2 national and 1 international expert to develop the methodology for data collection.	international experts, 9 human rights activists .	High budget: 4 national experts, study visit for 5 persons to the Special Rapporteur Office.  Low budget: 2 national experts, study visit for 3 persons to the Special Rapporteur Office.
Goal #2: Secure observance of human rights through effective monitoring, reporting and advocacy techniques	the HR activists to the: 1) Human Rights Committee, 2)	Hire an international expert to conduct a needs assessment and a roadmap for the Moldovan HR community.  Select a team of 10 human rights activists who act independently or represent national NGOs.  Hire two international experts who will mentor the selected activists on HR monitoring, reporting and advocacy.  Organize two trainings of 3 days to strengthen monitoring and advocacy capacities of HR activists.	human rights activists.	High budget: Select a team of 12 human rights activists who act independently or represent national NGOs.  Low budget: organize one training of 3 days on monitoring.
Goal #3: Reduce human rights violations pertaining to unjustified classification of public information	national security and state secret analyzed against the	·		High budget: 3 national experts to develop legal amendments; national event involving 24 participants to discuss and agree on the proposed amendments.  Low budget: national event involving 18 participants to discuss and agree on the proposed amendments, organize 1 media event.

Goal #3: Advancing the Rights and Dignity of People with Life- limiting illness and Combating denial of care	3.1. The palliative care profile in the country raised. A country work plan on palliative care development drafted.  3.2. Pain releiving medication availability and accesibility improved.	1 policy brief/short analysis to raise the profile of palliative care in the country developed.  1 meeting convened with stakeholders to share and discuss the policy brief.  2 media appearances on the subject done.  Work plan on Palliative Care development drafted and submitted to the Ministry of Health.  Award 1 project grant to do a short policy analysis on opioids availability, to develop a methodology to estimate annual quantity of opioids, based on actual needs in order to determine the government to diversify available forms of pain releive medications;		by 36%, comparing to 2013 year.
	sustainable education in palliative care.	1 raising awareness event organized for top managers of medical institutions in order to stress their responsibility in ensuring acces to and availability of pain releiving medication  Award 1 project grant to work on PC integration into undergraduate and postgraduate education within the State Medical University.  Award 1 project grant to do budget advocacy work and offer technical support in financial resource mobilisation and planning for palliative care.  Award 1 project grant to celebrate the World Hospice Day at the national level	State Medical University, PC community and other relevant stakeholders, PHP staff members: program director and coordinator Moldovan Association on Palliative Treatment, 1 national and 1 international consultant, Palliative Care community, PHP staff members: program director and coordinator	
Goal #4: Challenging Exclusion and Discrimination of Intellectually and Mentally Disabled People and Promoting Community- Based Alternatives	integration of the early intervention service into the public system addressed. Financing of direct NGO-based early intervention service provision is reduced.  4.2. Good practice of inclusive education disseminated into one more country rayon/district (Orhei). Grant support is reduced.	Award 1 project grant.	Voinicel Center for Early Intervention, PAS Center, PHP staff members: program director and coordinator  Women and Child protection and Support NGO, PHP staff members: program director and coordinator  Keystone Human Services Moldova association,	
	4.3. Technical support is provided to government in developing and implementing policies and practices to increase social inclusion of people with intellectual disabilities, including community-based care	Award 1 project grant.	Reystone Human Services Moldova association, PHP staff members: program director and coordinator	